Research Paper
Impact Factor: 7.184
Peer Reviewed & Indexed Journal
www.iibarr.com

IJBARR
E- ISSN -2347-856X
ISSN -2348-0653

INFLUENCE OF AI CHATBOT RESPONSIVENESS AND PERSONALIZATION ON CUSTOMER SATISFACTION

Risha N* Dr K R Kumar**
**Second Year MBA, NSB Academy Bangalore, India.*
***Professor, NSB Academy Bangalore, India.*

Abstract
This study examines the influence of AI chatbot responsiveness and personalization on customer satisfaction, with specific reference to Bosch Limited. As organizations increasingly adopt chatbot technologies to enhance customer service efficiency and engagement, understanding key determinants of user satisfaction becomes essential. The research focuses on how factors such as response accuracy, personalization, tone, and contextual relevance impact customer perceptions, trust, and overall service quality. Data were collected from 103 respondents using a structured questionnaire and analyzed through correlation and regression techniques. The findings reveal that personalization and accuracy significantly enhance customer satisfaction and trust, whereas response speed alone has a limited effect. Additionally, conversational tone and contextual understanding contribute positively to perceived service quality. The study highlights the importance of integrating human-like adaptability and emotional intelligence into chatbot systems. Overall, it concludes that effective AI-driven customer service requires a balance between automation, personalization, and trust-based communication.

Keywords: AI Chatbots, Customer Satisfaction, Personalization, Responsiveness, Service Quality.

1. INTRODUCTION

The rapid advancement of artificial intelligence (AI) has fundamentally transformed the way organizations interact with customers in the digital era. Among the various AI-driven technologies, chatbots have emerged as a prominent tool for enhancing customer service, engagement, and operational efficiency. Chatbots are intelligent conversational agents that simulate human interaction through natural language processing (NLP) and machine learning techniques, enabling organizations to provide instant, consistent, and personalized responses to customer queries. Their adoption has expanded across multiple sectors including banking, retail, healthcare, and automotive services, reflecting a broader shift toward automated and data-driven service ecosystems.

In today's competitive business environment, customer satisfaction remains a critical determinant of organizational success. It reflects the extent to which customer expectations are met or exceeded during service interactions. With the integration of AI chatbots, customer satisfaction is no longer influenced solely by human interactions but also by the quality of human–machine communication. The Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and Expectation Confirmation Theory (ECT) suggest that perceived usefulness, ease of use, and performance confirmation significantly influence user satisfaction and continued usage intention. In chatbot contexts, these constructs translate into factors such as responsiveness, personalization, accuracy, and interaction quality.

Among these factors, responsiveness and personalization have gained particular importance. Responsiveness refers to the speed and relevance of chatbot replies, while personalization involves tailoring responses based on user preferences, past interactions, and contextual understanding. Studies indicate that while customers expect quick responses, they place greater value on meaningful, accurate, and contextually relevant interactions (Mohammed A et al., 2025). Similarly, personalization enhances user engagement by creating a sense of individual attention and emotional connection, thereby improving satisfaction and trust (Meng H et al., 2025). The growing emphasis on human-like interaction in chatbot design is closely linked to the concept of anthropomorphism, where machines are attributed human characteristics such as empathy, tone, and conversational style. Research shows that such human-like features can significantly improve user trust and satisfaction when implemented appropriately (Liu H et al., 2025). However, excessive or poorly executed anthropomorphism may lead to discomfort or reduced credibility, indicating the need for a balanced approach (Nikolov A. N. et al., 2025).

Trust is another crucial dimension in chatbot-mediated interactions. In digital environments, customers must rely on automated systems to provide accurate information and safeguard their data. Trust acts as a mediator between chatbot performance and customer satisfaction, influencing both immediate perceptions and long-term behavioral intentions (Awwad R. A. et al., 2025). Factors such as transparency, reliability, and communication quality play a vital role in building this trust. Additionally, concerns related to privacy and data security can negatively impact chatbot adoption and satisfaction if not properly addressed (Ben Cheikh A et al., 2025).

Service quality in AI-driven environments also extends beyond functional performance to include emotional and experiential aspects. The SERVQUAL model highlights dimensions such as reliability, responsiveness, and empathy, all of which are relevant in chatbot interactions. While chatbots excel in delivering consistent and immediate responses, replicating empathy and emotional intelligence remains a challenge. Nevertheless, advancements in sentiment analysis and adaptive learning are enabling chatbots to better understand user emotions and respond accordingly, enhancing overall service quality (Rahi S et al., 2025).

Furthermore, the role of conversational tone and contextual relevance has become increasingly significant. A polite, friendly, and context-aware chatbot can improve user comfort and satisfaction, whereas rigid or generic responses may lead to frustration (Yu X et al., 2025). The ability of chatbots to interpret user intent accurately and provide relevant solutions determines the effectiveness of the interaction and the perceived intelligence of the system. In the Indian context, the adoption of AI chatbots has grown rapidly due to increasing digitalization, smartphone penetration, and initiatives such as Digital India. Organizations, including Bosch Limited, are leveraging chatbot technologies to streamline customer interactions, improve service accessibility, and enhance operational efficiency. However, despite widespread implementation, there remains a gap in understanding how specific chatbot attributes such as responsiveness and personalization influence customer satisfaction in real-world organizational settings.

This study aims to address this gap by examining the impact of AI chatbot responsiveness and personalization on customer satisfaction, with reference to Bosch Limited. By integrating theoretical perspectives with empirical analysis, the research seeks to provide insights into how chatbot design and performance can be optimized to deliver superior customer experiences. It also contributes to the broader discourse on human–AI interaction by highlighting the importance of balancing automation with personalization, efficiency with empathy, and technological capability with customer expectations.

2.Review of Literature

The literature on AI chatbots has expanded significantly in recent years, reflecting their growing importance in customer service, marketing, and digital interaction environments. Early research primarily focused on technological functionality, while contemporary studies emphasize psychological, relational, and experiential dimensions of chatbot interactions. A central theme across the literature is the role of chatbot attributes such as responsiveness, personalization, and human-like communication in shaping customer satisfaction, trust, and behavioral intentions.

Anthropomorphism has emerged as a key factor influencing user perceptions of chatbots. highlights that human-like cues such as empathy, friendliness, and natural language significantly enhance trust and satisfaction when balanced with transparency and accuracy. Similarly, El Naggar D. (2025) found that anthropomorphic chatbots increase perceived warmth and purchase intention, though excessive humanization may reduce credibility. Supporting this, Nikolov A. N. et al. (2025) describe the “anthropomorphism dilemma,” suggesting that human-like features are beneficial in relational contexts but less effective in accuracy-driven tasks. Choi M. K. et al. (2025) further demonstrate that social presence and perceived intimacy foster emotional attachment and loyalty in chatbot interactions.

Transparency and disclosure also play a crucial role in shaping customer responses. Li T. et al. (2025) found that prior disclosure of chatbot identity increases perceived honesty and customer tolerance during service failures. Awwad R. A. et al. (2025) emphasize that communication clarity and transparency reduce information

IJBARR
E- ISSN -2347-856X
ISSN -2348-0653

asymmetry, thereby enhancing trust and corporate reputation. Similarly, Ben Cheikh A. et al. (2025) highlight that privacy concerns can weaken user attitudes toward chatbots, reinforcing the importance of secure and transparent systems.

Responsiveness and information quality are consistently identified as critical determinants of user satisfaction. Mohammed A. et al. (2025) report that responsiveness, convenience, and information quality significantly improve perceived value and trust, leading to higher adoption rates. Truong T. T. H. et al. (2025) further show that adaptive responsiveness enhances empathy and overall customer experience, while mere speed without contextual understanding may reduce satisfaction. Yu X. et al. (2025) add that concise and relevant responses improve decision-making and user attitudes, whereas excessive information can lead to cognitive overload.

Personalization is another major driver of customer satisfaction and engagement. Meng H. et al. (2025) demonstrate that personalized chatbot interactions significantly improve satisfaction and purchase intention in online retail contexts. Ahmadpour S. et al. (2025) also find that trust, enjoyment, and perceived usefulness are key predictors of continued chatbot usage, with personalization enhancing emotional engagement. Khrouf L. et al. (2025) extend this by showing that personalized and interactive experiences strengthen continuance intention and customer loyalty in tourism platforms.

The role of trust as a mediating variable is widely acknowledged in the literature. indicates that trust is influenced by chatbot reliability, data security, and communication quality. Thaker H. B. M. T. et al. (2025) confirm that perceived usefulness and trust significantly affect chatbot adoption, while privacy concerns act as barriers. Alkadi R. S. et al. (2025) further emphasize that trust remains a core determinant across different levels of technological knowledge, highlighting its universal importance in AI interactions.

Emotional and experiential factors have gained increasing attention in recent studies. Shen X. et al. (2025) explore the use of humor in chatbot communication, finding that context-appropriate humor reduces customer anxiety and enhances engagement. Sangha P. et al. (2025) show that empathetic and emotionally appealing messages increase user trust and interaction in public health campaigns. Similarly, Jeon E. et al. (2025) demonstrate that empathetic communication significantly improves service recovery satisfaction, particularly under time pressure.

Technology acceptance theories continue to provide a strong theoretical foundation for chatbot research. Rahi S. et al. (2025) integrate gratification theory and expectation confirmation models, identifying perceived enjoyment and usefulness as key drivers of satisfaction and continued usage. Becan C. et al. (2025) highlight the influence of technology readiness, showing that optimism and innovativeness enhance user attitudes, while discomfort and insecurity hinder adoption. Ju B. et al. (2025) also emphasize the role of trust cues and perceived value in converting initial chatbot use into long-term engagement.

Several studies address the challenges and risks associated with chatbot adoption. Esawe A. T. (2025) identifies resistance factors such as lack of empathy and perceived loss of control, which can lead to negative word-of-mouth behavior. Liu Y. et al. (2025) reveal that users often overestimate chatbot capabilities while underestimating risks such as bias and misinformation, highlighting the need for transparent communication. Chua A. Y. et al. (2025) further examine algorithmic biases, emphasizing the importance of ethical AI design and continuous monitoring.

Context-specific studies provide additional insights into chatbot effectiveness across industries. Gupta S. et al. (2025) show that chatbots enhance satisfaction in e-commerce by improving convenience and reducing perceived risk. Rawas S. et al. (2025) highlight the role of chatbots in education, where personalized feedback and engagement improve learning outcomes. Shi C. et al. (2025) find that anonymity and empathy increase adoption of mental health chatbots, particularly among hesitant users. In luxury and hospitality contexts, Chen E. R. (2025) and Zhang M. et al. (2025) emphasize the importance of aligning chatbot design with brand image and customer expectations.

Recent studies also explore configurational and contextual factors influencing chatbot adoption. Chaouali W. et al. (2025) argue that no single factor determines acceptance; rather, combinations of beliefs such as usefulness, enjoyment, and perceived risk shape user behavior. Tan A. H. T. et al. (2025) highlight ethical concerns, particularly among younger users, emphasizing the need for transparency and responsible AI marketing practices. Ibrahim Y. et al. (2025) examine chatbot adoption in educational settings, noting that institutional support and user mindset significantly influence acceptance.

Overall, the literature suggests that chatbot effectiveness depends on a combination of functional, emotional, and ethical factors. Responsiveness and personalization enhance satisfaction by improving service efficiency and user engagement, while trust, transparency, and empathy strengthen long-term relationships. Despite extensive research, gaps remain in understanding how these factors interact in specific organizational contexts, particularly in emerging markets and industries such as automotive services. This study seeks to address these gaps by empirically examining the influence of chatbot responsiveness and personalization on customer satisfaction in Bosch Limited, contributing to both theoretical advancement and practical application in AI-driven service management.

3.Research Methods

This study adopts a quantitative research design to examine the influence of AI chatbot responsiveness and personalization on customer satisfaction with reference to Bosch Limited. Primary data were collected using a structured questionnaire designed to capture respondents' perceptions of chatbot performance, including responsiveness, personalization, accuracy, and overall satisfaction. A total of 103 valid responses were obtained using a convenience sampling technique. The target respondents included customers who have interacted with AI chatbots in service environments.

The questionnaire was measured using a Likert five-point scale ranging from strongly disagree to strongly agree. Data analysis was conducted using statistical tools such as correlation and multiple regression analysis to identify relationships between variables and to test the impact of independent variables on customer satisfaction. Reliability and validity of the instrument were ensured through standard statistical checks.

3.1 Research Objectives

1. To analyze the impact of AI chatbot responsiveness on customer satisfaction.
2. To examine the influence of personalization in AI chatbots on customer satisfaction.
3. To evaluate the relationship between chatbot attributes and customer trust and service quality.

4. Results and Discussion

This section presents the analysis and interpretation of data collected from 103 respondents regarding the influence of AI chatbot responsiveness and personalization on customer satisfaction. Statistical tools such as descriptive analysis, correlation, and multiple regression were used to examine the relationships among variables and to derive meaningful insights.

To understand the background of respondents, demographic variables such as gender, age, education, and frequency of chatbot usage were analyzed. The distribution is presented below:

Table 1: Demographic Characteristics of Respondents (n = 103)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	62	60.2%
	Female	41	39.8%
Age Group	Below 25 years	28	27.2%

IJBARR
E- ISSN -2347-856X
ISSN -2348-0653

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
	25 – 35 years	46	44.7%
	36 – 45 years	19	18.4%
	Above 45 years	10	9.7%
Education Level	Undergraduate	35	34.0%
	Postgraduate	52	50.5%
	Others	16	15.5%
Chatbot Usage Frequency	Frequently	48	46.6%
	Occasionally	37	35.9%
	Rarely	18	17.5%

Interpretation: The demographic analysis shows that the majority of respondents are male (60.2%), indicating slightly higher participation from male users in chatbot interactions. The dominant age group is 25–35 years (44.7%), suggesting that young professionals are the primary users of AI chatbot services. In terms of education, most respondents are postgraduates (50.5%), reflecting a relatively well-educated sample that is more likely to engage with digital technologies. Regarding chatbot usage, nearly 46.6% of respondents use chatbots frequently, indicating a high level of familiarity and acceptance of AI-driven customer service tools. Overall, the demographic profile suggests that chatbot usage is more prevalent among young, educated, and digitally active individuals, which has important implications for designing targeted and user-friendly AI service systems.

Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics provide an overview of respondents' perceptions toward chatbot attributes.

Table 2: Mean and SD of Variables

Variable	**Mean**	**SD**
Responsiveness	3.72	0.81
Personalization	4.05	0.76
Accuracy	4.12	0.69
Tone & Communication Style	3.95	0.73
Customer Satisfaction	4.08	0.71

The results indicate that respondents generally have a positive perception of AI chatbot performance. Accuracy (Mean = 4.12) and personalization (Mean = 4.05) scored the highest, suggesting that users value meaningful and tailored responses. Responsiveness, while important, has a relatively lower mean (3.72), indicating that speed alone may not fully determine satisfaction.

Correlation Analysis

Correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationship between independent variables (responsiveness, personalization, accuracy, and tone) and the dependent variable (customer satisfaction).

Table 3: Correlation analysis

Variables	Responsiveness	Personalization	Accuracy	Tone	Customer Satisfaction
Responsiveness	1				
Personalization	0.54	1			
Accuracy	0.49	0.63	1		
Tone	0.51	0.58	0.60	1	
Customer Satisfaction	0.46	0.71	0.74	0.68	1

The results show that all independent variables have a positive correlation with customer satisfaction. Accuracy ($r = 0.74$) and personalization ($r = 0.71$) exhibit the strongest relationships, indicating that these factors significantly influence user satisfaction. Responsiveness shows a moderate correlation ($r = 0.46$), suggesting that while speed is relevant, it is less impactful compared to quality-related attributes.

Multiple Regression Analysis

Multiple regression analysis was performed to determine the impact of independent variables on customer satisfaction.

Table 4: Multiple regression analysis

Variables	**Beta Coefficient**	**t-value**	**Significance (p-value)**
Responsiveness	0.12	1.45	0.150
Personalization	0.34	3.92	0.000
Accuracy	0.37	4.10	0.000
Tone	0.29	3.21	0.002
$R^2 = 0.68$			

The regression model explains 68% of the variance in customer satisfaction ($R^2 = 0.68$), indicating a strong model fit. Personalization ($\beta = 0.34$), accuracy ($\beta = 0.37$), and tone ($\beta = 0.29$) are statistically significant predictors ($p < 0.05$). However, responsiveness ($\beta = 0.12$, $p > 0.05$) is not statistically significant, confirming that speed alone does not strongly influence satisfaction.

The findings reveal that accuracy is the most influential factor affecting customer satisfaction. Users prioritize correct, relevant, and reliable information over mere speed. This aligns with the idea that customers seek problem resolution rather than just quick responses. Personalization emerges as the second most important factor, highlighting the growing expectation for tailored experiences. Chatbots that adapt to user preferences and provide customized responses enhance engagement and emotional connection, leading to higher satisfaction levels. Tone and communication style also play a significant role, indicating that human-like interaction improves the overall experience. Polite, empathetic, and context-aware communication contributes to positive perceptions of service quality. Interestingly, responsiveness does not significantly impact satisfaction, despite being a fundamental feature of chatbots. This suggests that users may perceive quick but irrelevant or generic responses as less valuable. Therefore, quality outweighs speed in determining customer satisfaction.

IJBARR
E- ISSN -2347-856X
ISSN -2348-0653

The results emphasize the need for organizations, including Bosch Limited, to focus on intelligent chatbot design rather than just operational efficiency. Enhancing natural language processing capabilities, contextual understanding, and emotional intelligence can significantly improve user experience.

From a managerial perspective, businesses should:

a. Prioritize accuracy and data integration to ensure reliable responses.
b. Invest in AI-driven personalization mechanisms.
c. Develop empathetic conversational interfaces.
d. Balance automation with human-like interaction.

The study clearly demonstrates that customer satisfaction in AI chatbot interactions is a multi-dimensional construct influenced by both functional and emotional factors. While responsiveness ensures efficiency, it is the combination of accuracy, personalization, and tone that drives meaningful engagement and satisfaction. In inference, AI chatbots should not be viewed merely as tools for quick responses but as strategic assets for delivering personalized and trust-based customer experiences. Organizations that successfully integrate these elements will achieve higher customer satisfaction, stronger brand trust, and long-term competitive advantage.

5. Conclusion and Suggestions

This study examined the influence of AI chatbot responsiveness and personalization on customer satisfaction with reference to Bosch Limited. The findings clearly indicate that customer satisfaction is significantly shaped by qualitative aspects of chatbot interaction rather than mere operational speed. Specifically, accuracy (Mean = 4.12) and personalization (Mean = 4.05) emerged as the most influential factors, supported by strong correlations with customer satisfaction ($r = 0.74$ and $r = 0.71$ respectively). Regression results further confirmed that personalization ($\beta = 0.34$), accuracy ($\beta = 0.37$), and tone ($\beta = 0.29$) significantly impact satisfaction, while responsiveness showed no significant effect ($p > 0.05$).

These results highlight that customers prioritize meaningful, contextually relevant, and empathetic communication over quick but generic responses. The importance of tone and communication style also indicates that human-like interaction enhances user experience and perceived service quality. Furthermore, the strong model fit ($R^2 = 0.68$) suggests that chatbot attributes collectively explain a substantial portion of customer satisfaction.

Based on these findings, several suggestions are proposed. First, organizations should prioritize improving chatbot accuracy through better data integration and continuous learning mechanisms. Second, enhancing personalization by leveraging customer data and interaction history can significantly improve engagement and satisfaction. Third, chatbot design should incorporate empathetic tone and conversational intelligence to create human-like experiences. Fourth, businesses should focus on contextual understanding rather than only increasing response speed. Fifth, integrating hybrid models that combine chatbot efficiency with human support for complex queries can further enhance service quality. In inference, AI chatbot effectiveness depends on balancing automation with personalization and trust-based communication. Organizations like Bosch can strengthen customer satisfaction and long-term relationships by focusing on intelligent, adaptive, and user-centric chatbot systems.

Limitations: This study is limited by a small sample size of 103 respondents and the use of convenience sampling, which may affect generalizability. It focuses only on Bosch Limited, limiting broader applicability. Additionally, the study considers selected variables, excluding other factors such as cultural differences, technological literacy, and long-term user behavior.

References

1. Ahmadpour, S., Foroughi, B., Batouei, A., Gunaratnege, S. M., Kazemian, S., Thi My Vu, H., & Khoshkam, M. (2025). Determinants of continuance intention to use chatbots in retail settings: Self-esteem as a moderator. Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration. https://doi.org/10.1108/APJBA-11-2024-0628
2. Esawe, A. T. (2025). Consumer resistance to AI chatbots: Barriers and impacts on negative word-of-mouth. Spanish Journal of Marketing – ESIC. https://doi.org/10.1108/SJME-07-2024-0187.
3. Alkadi, R. S., & Abed, S. S. (2025). Examining consumer intention to adopt AI-powered chatbots in the Saudi banking sector: The moderating role of knowledge in technology. International Journal of Bank Marketing. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBM-10-2024-0635 .
4. Becan, C., & Çeber, B. (2025). How technology readiness influences behavioral and purchasing intention: Serial multiple mediating role of attitude toward AI and AI-driven consumer chatbot experience. Digital Transformation and Society. https://doi.org/10.1108/DTS-04-2025-0082
5. Ben Cheikh, A., & Zarrad, H. (2025). Investigating tourism chatbot adoption: The moderating role of privacy concerns. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights. https://doi.org/10.1108/JHTI-10-2024-1161.
6. Chaouali, W., Woodside, A., Jedidi, H., Mjahed Hammami, S., Yogeeswaran, K., & Wirtz, J. (2025). How do consumers form their reasons for/against chatbots? Symmetric variable relationship and asymmetric configurational models. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJCHM-12-2024-1925 .
7. Choi, M. K., & Lee, J. S. (2025). The impact of parasocial interaction with anthropomorphized AI chatbots on sport consumers' loyalty. International Journal of Sports Marketing and Sponsorship. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSMS-09-2024-0265.
8. Chua, A. Y., Chen, M., Kan, M., & Seoh, W. (2025). Digital prejudices: An analysis of gender, racial and religious biases in generative AI chatbots. Internet Research. https://doi.org/10.1108/INTR-10-2024-1536
9. Chen, E. R. (2025). Not just a chat: Transforming the luxury fashion shopping experience through AI chatbots. In Future priorities for design, branding, marketing and retail: The era of technology and sustainability. https://doi.org/10.1108/978-1-83608-806-620251007.
10. El-Naggar, D., Hammad, H., & El-Bassiouny, N. (2025). Anthropomorphism in service chatbots and their effects on purchase intentions: An experimental design. Journal of Services Marketing. https://doi.org/10.1108/JSM-12-2024-0634
11. Ibrahim, Y., & Hidayat-ur-Rehman, I. (2025). From resistance to acceptance: The dynamics of educators' intentions to use chatbots and the role of educators' autocratic behaviour. Asian Education and Development Studies. https://doi.org/10.1108/AEDS-06-2024-0134.
12. Jeon, E., & Kim, J. (2025). When time is crucial: Effects of compensation type and anthropomorphic chatbots on chatbot service recovery satisfaction. Journal of Research in Interactive Marketing. https://doi.org/10.1108/JRIM-05-2024-0238.
13. Ju, B., Stewart, J. B., Park, S., & Walker, J. J. (2025). Artificial intelligence (AI) powered chatbots: Factors in uptake among early adopters. Aslib Journal of Information Management. https://doi.org/10.1108/AJIM-12-2024-0994.
14. Kbaier, E., Bakini, F., & Oueslaty, K. (2025). Investigating the influence of AI chatbot interactions on attitudes and purchase intentions: Extending the UTAUT framework from brands perspective. Journal of Business Strategy. https://doi.org/10.1108/JBS-05-2024-0086
15. Kbaier, E., Salminen, J., & Jansen, B. J. (2025). A glimpse into the AI: Exploring the effect of consumers' chatbot interaction experience on brand attachment and advocacy with the moderating role of AI skepticism. Asia-Pacific Journal of Business Administration. https://doi.org/10.1108/APJBA-01-2025-0003.
16. Khrouf, L., Azzabi, M., & Bouchnak, M. (2025). Predicting continuance intention to use chatbots in tourism commercial websites. Journal of Hospitality and Tourism Insights. https://doi.org/10.1108/JHTI-01-2025-0125.
17. Kumar, S., Kumar, P., Priya, P., & Patel, G. (2025). Mapping the evolution of artificial intelligence (AI) chatbot in marketing: A bibliometric analysis. Journal of Asia Business Studies. https://doi.org/10.1108/JABS-12-2024-0664.

18. Li, T., Zhang, C., & Chang, Y. (2025). The impact of prior chatbot identity disclosure on customer tolerance of service failures. Internet Research. https://doi.org/10.1108/INTR-11-2023-1073.
19. Li, T., Zhang, C., Zheng, W., & Qiao, J. (2025). Gain or loss? Unveiling the power of framing in chatbot identity disclosure. International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management. https://doi.org/ 10.1108/IJCHM-09-2024-1415.
20. Liu, H., Han, X., Li, S., Lin, W., & Zhang, M. (2025). Impact of anthropomorphic government chatbots on users' perceived trust in the government: Based on the perspective of the government services interaction chain. Aslib Journal of Information Management. https://doi.org/10.1108/AJIM-12-2024-0957.
21. Liu, Y., & Rau, P. P. (2025). Perception vs reality: A multi-level framework for analyzing user perceptions of LLM-based chatbots. Information Technology & People. https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-03-2024-0403.
22. Meng, H., Li, Z., Xu, X., Wang, J., Zhang, X., & Chen, M. (2025). Does AI chatbot really benefit fashion consumption: Empirical evidence from various online customer service. Journal of Fashion Marketing and Management: An International Journal. https://doi.org/10.1108/JFMM-02-2025-0081.
23. Mohammed, A., & Ferraris, A. (2025). Exploring motivational drivers of AI chatbot adoption in emerging markets: Insights from the stimulus-organism-response model for service automation. The Bottom Line. https://doi.org/10.1108/BL-11-2024-0189.
24. Nikolov, A. N., Iyer, P., Rokonuzzaman, M., Batra, G., Eskridge, B., & Sen, S. (2025). The AI chatbot anthropomorphism dilemma. Marketing Intelligence & Planning. https://doi.org/10.1108/MIP-09-2024-0623.