AI AND FREEDOM OF EXPRESSION IN INDIA'S DIGITAL DEMOCRACIES.

Dr.Vinitha Varghese
Assist.Professor, St. Mary's College, Malappuram, Kerala.

Abstract
Artificial intelligence is increasingly pivotal in shaping India's digital democracy and affecting freedom of expression in online spaces. As digital platforms implement AI-driven systems for content moderation, surveillance, and information dissemination, the relationship between technological progress and constitutional rights becomes more complex. While AI technologies can potentially increase democratic participation by expanding access to information and encouraging broader public involvement, they also increase issues such as algorithmic bias, lack of transparency in decision-making, and potential censorship. Automated moderation and algorithmic filtering may unintentionally suppress legitimate speech, thereby restricting the diversity of democratic discourse. Additionally, AI-powered surveillance and data analytics threaten privacy, which is crucial for free expression and political engagement. Without a comprehensive regulatory framework addressing the ethical and human rights implications of AI, these challenges become more pronounced. Consequently, India must establish transparent governance mechanisms, ethical AI design standards, and stronger digital literacy to ensure that AI supports rather than undermines democratic freedoms.

Key Words: Democracy, Technology, Freedom Of Expression, Digital Literacy.

Introduction

In India, artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a major influence on the digital democracy of the country, and has had a significant impact on the way freedom of expression is understood and practiced. As the country undergoes rapid digital transformation, the interaction between AI technologies and constitutional rights, democratic principles, and societal norms related to free speech offers both promising opportunities and significant challenges. In India, the right to freedom of expression, as outlined in Article 19(1)(a) of the Constitution, functions within a multifaceted digital landscape increasingly influenced by AI-powered platforms and tools. The incorporation of AI in areas such as online content moderation, surveillance, data-driven decision-making, and information dissemination channels inevitably shapes the framework of democratic dialogue. Although AI has the potential to strengthen the democratic system by promoting broader participation and accessibility, it also brings up issues related to bias, censorship, accountability, and ethical governance.

An important aspect is the way AI systems boost democratic involvement and shape public participation. In India, the existing AI policy frameworks aim to promote economic development and societal benefits through data-driven technologies. However, these policies frequently address the limitations and risks associated with AI practices as issues to be tackled after development, rather than as critical factors to consider during the initial development phase. This creates complex trade-offs where automated content moderation and algorithmic filtering risk suppressing legitimate speech and narrowing the democratic input that fuels a vibrant digital democracy (Marda, 2018).

The denseness and algorithmic biases inherent in artificial intelligence systems intensify concerns regarding freedom of expression, as decisions pertaining to content visibility and removal frequently lack transparency and judicial oversight. These 'black box' systems pose significant challenges to accountability mechanisms, which are essential for ensuring democratic throughput legitimacy. This

legitimacy is predicated on citizens' ability to hold decision-makers accountable and to assess the fairness of technological interventions. The result is a shift of power and influence increasingly toward private technology firms, which manage AI tools and platforms, thus raising questions about equitable citizen engagement and state responsibility in the digital realm (CarlssonandRönnblom, 2022).

Furthermore, the application of AI in surveillance and data analysis can affect privacy rights that are essential to free speech and democratic engagement. In the Indian context, where issues of data privacy and digital literacy exist alongside the swift adoption of technology, these challenges are intensified. AI-driven surveillance can suppress dissent and political activism, stifling expression under the pretence of security or misinformation management. The lack of a unified legal framework addressing AI's privacy and human rights implications compounds vulnerabilities, necessitating ethical AI governance that aligns with constitutional freedoms (Al-Billeh et al., 2024).To effectively balance innovation with control, India needs strong regulatory frameworks that are specifically designed to address its unique socio-political context. The country's AI policy should integrate ethical design principles, conduct assessments of environmental and social impacts, and implement capacity-building programs that emphasize democratic values and human rights. Only through such comprehensive frameworks can AI act as a democratic enabler rather than a tool of repression or exclusion (Marda, 2018; Mishra and Tripathi, 2025).

India needs strong regulatory frameworks that are specifically designed to address its unique socio-political context. Public awareness and media literacy also play critical roles in negotiating AI's effects on freedom of expression. Studies highlight that digital literacy shapes attitudes toward AI and its ethical use, emphasizing education to promote accountable AI deployment that respects democratic rights (SaklakiandGardikiotis, 2024).

In conclusion, India's progression as a digital democracy driven by artificial intelligence necessitates a delicate equilibrium-harnessing AI's transformative potential while safeguarding and promoting freedom of expression. This endeavor requires transparent and inclusive policy-making, the ethical design of AI systems, accountability frameworks encompassing both public and private entities, and an informed citizenry capable of critically engaging with digital technologies. Addressing these elements will ensure that AI contributes positively to India's democratic principles rather than undermining them.

References

1. Al-Billeh, T., Hmaidan, R., Al-Hammouri, A., and Al Makhmari, M. (2024). The Risks of Using Artificial Intelligence on Privacy and Human Rights: Unifying Global Standards. Journal Media Hukum, 31(2), 333–350. https://doi.org/10.18196/jmh.v31i2.23480.
2. Aldousari, E., and Kithinji, D. (2024). Artificial intelligence and health information: A bibliometric analysis of three decades of research. Health Informatics Journal, 30(3). https://doi.org/10.1177/14604582241283969.
3. Carlsson, V., andRönnblom, M. (2022). From politics to ethics: Transformations in EU policies on digital technology. Technology in Society, 71, 102145. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2022.102145.
4. Chatterjee, S. (2020). AI strategy of India: policy framework, adoption challenges and actions for government. Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy, 14(5), 757–775. https://doi.org/10.1108/tg-05-2019-0031.

5. Jafari, M., Shahbazi, A., Kawsar, M., Mousavi Davoudi, S. P., and Janani, S. (2025). The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Strategic Planning and Competitive Advantage. International Journal of Advanced Business Studies, 4(4), 258–276. https://doi.org/10.59857/mvzl8684.

6. Jaldemark, J., Lundin, J., Säljö, R., Edwards, J., Gegenfurtner, A., Holmes, W., Järvelä, S., De Laat, M., Lindberg, Y., Littlejohn, A., Seufert, S., Specht, M., Svensson, L., Rapanta, C., Hayes, S., andZeivots, S. (2025). A Multidisciplinary Research Agenda for Artificial Intelligence, Education, Learning, and Instruction. Postdigital Science and Education, 7(4), 1414–1450. https://doi.org/10.1007/s42438-025-00602-8.

7. Kotsis, K. T. (2024). Artificial Intelligence Creates Plagiarism or Academic Research? European Journal of Arts, Humanities and Social Sciences, 1(6), 169–179. https://doi.org/10.59324/ejahss.2024.1(6).18.

8. Kriebitz, A., and Lütge, C. (2020). Artificial Intelligence and Human Rights: A Business Ethical Assessment. Business and Human Rights Journal, 5(1), 84–104. https://doi.org/10.1017/bhj.2019.28.

9. Marda, V. (2018). Artificial intelligence policy in India: a framework for engaging the limits of data-driven decision-making. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 376(2133), 20180087. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2018.0087.

10. Mishra, P., and Tripathi, S. (2025). Artificial Intelligence in Environmental Governance: Legal and Ethical Challenges for India. International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research, 7(5). https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i05.55766.

11. Nemitz, P. (2018). Constitutional democracy and technology in the age of artificial intelligence. Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences, 376(2133), 20180089. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2018.0089.

12. Ramesha, D., Nair, T., and Patil, M. (2025). "The Role of Artificial Intelligence in Transforming Digital Marketing Strategies." International Journal of Latest Technology in Engineering Management andamp; Applied Science, 14(8), 542–549. https://doi.org/10.51583/ijltemas.2025.1408000066.

13. Rani, M. (2024). Impacts and ethics of using Artificial Intelligence (AI) by the Indian Police. Public Administration and Policy, 27(2), 182–192. https://doi.org/10.1108/pap-06-2023-0081.

14. Saklaki, A., andGardikiotis, A. (2024). Exploring Greek Students' Attitudes Toward Artificial Intelligence: Relationships with AI Ethics, Media, and Digital Literacy. Societies, 14(12), 248. https://doi.org/10.3390/soc14120248.

15. Westerstrand, S. (2024). Reconstructing AI Ethics Principles: Rawlsian Ethics of Artificial Intelligence. Science and Engineering Ethics, 30(5). http://doi.org/10.100/s11948-024-00507-y..