



THE STRATEGIC EVOLUTION OF THE INDIAN STARTUP ECOSYSTEM AS THE GLOBAL EPICENTER FOR THE VIKSHIT BHARAT 2047 VISION: AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF LEGAL SIMPLIFICATION THROUGH JAN VISHWAS REFORMS AND THE FLIPKART REVERSE FLIP PARADIGM

A.N.S. Vijay* Dr. C. Vethirajan**

*Research Scholar, Department of Corporate Secretaryship, Faculty of Management, Alagappa University, Karaikudi .

**Senior Professor & Head, Department of Corporate Secretaryship, Faculty of Management, Alagappa University, Karaikudi .

Abstract

India's economic story is being rewritten, beyond the manufacturing quotas or export targets, but in the vocabulary of venture capital, regulatory trust, and technological self-reliance. This shift lies at the core of the Vikshit Bharat 2047 vision, which seeks to position India as a fully developed nation by the centennial of its independence¹. In my reading of this transition, what is most striking is not the ambition of the thirty-to-forty-trillion-dollar GDP target, but the methodical legal architecture being constructed to support it. This article examines the maturation of India's startup ecosystem between 2016 and 2026, tracing the legislative arc from the Startup India initiative through the Jan Vishwas reforms of 2023, 2025, and 2026. The Jan Vishwas Acts are significant. They decriminalize hundreds of procedural violations, replacing imprisonment with graded civil penalties, which is a signal, I would argue, that India's governance is finally shedding its colonial reflex of treating minor commercial errors as moral failures. The article also explores the Gujarat International Finance Tec-City International Financial Services Centre (GIFT IFSC) as an instrument for reclaiming entrepreneurial capital that has historically migrated abroad. The empirical center of this research is the reverse flip undertaken by Flipkart, by way of restructuring sanctioned by the National Company Law Tribunal (NCLT) in December 2025, involved the merger of eight Singapore-incorporated entities into Flipkart Internet Private Limited, the Bengaluru-based operating company. Through an analysis of Sections 230 to 232 of the Companies Act, 2013 and the Foreign Exchange Management Act (FEMA), I demonstrate that while legislative reform has meaningfully improved India's investment climate, the harmonization of cross-border corporate law and foreign exchange regulations remains an unfinished and critically important agenda.

1. Introduction: The Grand Vision of Vikshit Bharat 2047

The aspiration to achieve developed-nation status by 2047 is not merely a quantitative goal. It is, at its core, a statement about the kind of country India wants to be¹. When the government speaks of a GDP of thirty to forty trillion dollars and positions the four pillars of youth, women, farmers, and the underprivileged as the foundation of this growth², the implicit argument is that prosperity must be structurally inclusive, not incidentally so. I find this framing important when studying the startup ecosystem, because the question is not simply whether India can produce more unicorns. The question is whether the legal and institutional environment can sustain the kind of innovation economy that makes 2047 genuinely achievable.

The Startup India initiative, launched in January 2016, provided the original policy impetus, converting India from a job-seeking to a job-creating economy, at least in aspiration³. What followed over the next decade was, by any empirical measure, remarkable. By 2026, India hosts over two lakh recognized

startups, has produced approximately 125 unicorns with a combined valuation exceeding USD 350 billion, and has seen significant startup activity emerge from Tier 2 and Tier 3 cities³. Bengaluru, Hyderabad, and Delhi-NCR remain the primary innovation corridors, but smaller cities now account for nearly half of all recognized startups, a democratization of entrepreneurship that the Startup India architects could not have fully anticipated.

Yet the path to 2047 carries real friction. For years, the excessive criminalization of procedural and technical defaults discouraged formalization and frightened legitimate businesses away from growth⁶. The Jan Vishwas reforms have targeted this friction directly by replacing imprisonment with civil penalties, and replacing fear with a baseline of regulatory trust⁷. In this article, I trace that shift carefully, and I argue that while the legislative progress between 2023 and 2026 is substantial, its true test lies in implementation.

2. The Maturation of the Indian Startup Ecosystem: 2016 to 2026

From fewer than 500 startups in 2014 to more than 200,000 by early 2026, the growth trajectory is extraordinary³. But what the headline numbers do not immediately reveal is the qualitative shift that has occurred in the character of this ecosystem. When I examine the sectoral composition of Indian startups today, I observe activity across more than fifty distinct sectors, including Deep Tech, Artificial Intelligence, Space Tech, and Drone Technology⁵. This is not a software services economy wearing new clothes. This is genuine technological diversification, and it carries implications for how India's intellectual capital is valued globally.

Table 1: Startup Ecosystem Metrics , 2014 versus 2026

Metric	Status in 2014	Status in 2026
Recognized Startups	Fewer than 500	Over 200,000
Number of Unicorns	4	Approximately 125
Combined Valuation	Negligible	Over USD 350 billion
Tier 2 and Tier 3 Participation	Minimal	~50% of startups
Women Directors or Partners	~10%	~45% of startups
Employment Created	Data Limited	Estimated 21 lakh jobs

Source: Department for Promotion of Industry and Internal Trade, PIB (2026)³

The States' Startup Ranking Framework (SRF) has played a meaningful role in creating competitive federalism around startup-friendliness¹¹. Gujarat, Goa, and Arunachal Pradesh emerged as top performers in the 2022 edition, demonstrating that the capacity to nurture entrepreneurship is not confined to metropolitan India. What interests me about this finding is the institutional design insight it

contains: when states are evaluated and ranked, policy improvement becomes a reputational matter, not just an administrative one.

The funding landscape has deepened, too. The Startup India Seed Fund Scheme (SISFS) and the Fund of Funds for Startups (FFS) have collectively channeled thousands of crores into early-stage ventures and Alternative Investment Funds³. Deal sizes have fluctuated in response to global liquidity conditions, but the underlying institutional commitment to venture capital as a development tool has not wavered. As we look toward 2047, the strategic priority is shifting from scale to depth, toward deep technology and grassroots innovation that serves the needs of rural and semi-urban India¹³.

3. Legislative Reform I: The Jan Vishwas Act of 2023 and the 2025 Transition

The Jan Vishwas (Amendment of Provisions) Act of 2023 was the first serious signal that India intended to dismantle the legal architecture of commercial fear. It decriminalized 183 provisions across 42 central acts⁹. That number is significant not just for its scale, but for what it represents epistemologically: a parliamentary acknowledgment that the conflation of procedural non-compliance with moral wrongdoing had become structurally damaging.

The Jan Vishwas (Amendment of Provisions) Act of 2025 followed, covering 17 acts. It was referred to a Select Committee of the Lok Sabha, which held 49 sittings and conducted extensive stakeholder consultations⁶. The committee's conclusion was candid: 17 acts were insufficient to address the systemic challenge. Consequently, the 2025 Bill was withdrawn on March 17, 2026, to make way for a more comprehensive successor¹⁵. This procedural evolution is worth noting. The willingness to withdraw a bill rather than pass an inadequate one reflects a maturity in legislative deliberation that is sometimes underappreciated in policy commentary.

4. Legislative Reform II: The Comprehensive Jan Vishwas Act of 2026

Passed by both houses of Parliament in early April 2026, the Jan Vishwas (Amendment of Provisions) Act of 2026 is a landmark. It amends 784 provisions across 79 central acts administered by 23 ministries, of which 717 have been decriminalized for ease of doing business, and 67 amended to facilitate ease of living⁷. I do not use the word 'landmark' carelessly. When a single piece of legislation touches 23 ministries and redirects the enforcement philosophy of nearly 800 statutory provisions, something genuinely structural has changed.

The central mechanism is the replacement of criminal penalties, particularly imprisonment, with graded monetary penalties for minor procedural violations. This shift is philosophically significant⁷. Imprisonment, as a legal response to a missed filing deadline, was never proportionate. It was a colonial instrument applied to commercial life, and it created a culture in which entrepreneurs, especially first-generation founders from non-elite backgrounds treated the regulatory system as a source of existential threat rather than routine compliance.

Consider a concrete example, under the Drugs and Cosmetics Act of 1940, manufacturing cosmetics in violation of the act previously carried up to one year's imprisonment¹⁵. The 2026 Act replaces this with a civil penalty of ₹1 lakh or three times the value of the confiscated items, whichever is higher. This is not 'soft' enforcement, it retains deterrence. It is simply a more calibrated response to the actual nature of the infraction.

Table 2: Sectoral Impact of the Jan Vishwas Act, 2026 , Selected Provisions

Sector or Act	Former Penalty	Amended Mechanism
Drugs and Cosmetics Act, 1940	Up to 1 year imprisonment and fine	Civil penalty of ₹1 lakh or 3× value
National Highways Act, 1956	Up to 5 years imprisonment and fine	Civil penalty of ₹10 lakh to ₹1 crore
Indian Succession Act, 1925	Up to 3 months imprisonment and fine	Civil fine only
Electricity Act, 2003	Up to 3 months imprisonment and fine	Civil fine with increased maximum
Legal Metrology Act, 2009	Direct criminal fine	Improvement Notice for first offence
Apprentices Act, 1961	Criminal fine	Advisory → Warning → Penalty
Motor Vehicles Act, 1988	Immediate technical violation	30-day grace period for renewal
Copyright Act, 1957	Criminal liability for false entries	Offence omitted entirely

Source: Vajiram & Ravi, PRS India (2026).¹⁰

The act also introduces a 'Correction First' approach, a staged enforcement model where adjudicating officers issue an Improvement Notice before imposing any penalty⁹. Entities receive a defined window to rectify non-compliance. Only after that window closes does the penalty machinery activate. In my view, this graduated model is more likely to produce behavioral change than immediate punishment, particularly for small and medium enterprises that frequently fail on technical grounds rather than through willful defiance.

The structural shift from criminal courts to administrative adjudication deserves attention. India currently has over 50 million pending cases across its judiciary⁶. Redirecting minor commercial disputes to dedicated adjudicating officers is not just a compliance reform, it is a judicial relief measure. An automatic inflation-indexing provision, under which monetary penalties increase by 10% every three years, ensures that the deterrent value of these fines does not erode silently over time⁹.

5. GIFT IFSC and the Strategic Onshoring of Indian Innovation

The phenomenon of 'flipping', where Indian-founded startups establish offshore holding structures, typically in Singapore or Delaware, is well-documented and, in its original context, understandable¹⁹. Foreign institutional investors in the early 2010s were more comfortable with corporate law frameworks they recognized. Tax considerations added further impetus. Flipkart itself relocated to Singapore in 2011, joining a wave of companies seeking capital in friendlier regulatory waters.

The consequence, however, is structural value leakage. When India's most innovative companies are legally domiciled in Singapore, the economic returns from their growth through employment, tax revenue, and investor confidence, accrues to a foreign jurisdiction²¹. The GIFT IFSC project represents a deliberate attempt to close this gap without requiring startups to sacrifice the regulatory flexibility they originally sought offshore.

In 2023, the International Financial Services Centres Authority (IFSCA) constituted an expert committee chaired by Shri G. Padmanabhan, former Executive Director of the Reserve Bank of India, specifically to address the challenge of onshoring Indian innovation²². The committee's report argues that GIFT IFSC offers three structural advantages: the freedom to transact in foreign currency, frictionless capital movement, and a business-friendly regulatory environment calibrated to international norms²¹. Critically, entities incorporated at GIFT IFSC are classified as persons resident outside India under FEMA, which gives them the operational profile of offshore entities while remaining within Indian sovereign territory²⁴.

What the Padmanabhan committee understood and what I find compelling in their framing is that the issue is not patriotism. Founders do not flip their companies because they love Singapore more than India. They do it because the regulatory ecosystem in India was, for a long time, punishing to navigate. The logic of GIFT IFSC is therefore not to demand that they return, but to change the underlying calculus. The onshoring of PhonePe, Groww, Meesho, and now Flipkart suggests that this calculus is shifting²⁶.

6. Case Study: The Flipkart Reverse Flip and NCLT Jurisprudence

Flipkart's reverse flip is not simply a corporate restructuring exercise. It is, I would argue, the most important test case India has yet produced for the legal architecture of startup redomiciliation. The decision to bring Walmart's USD 36 billion Indian e-commerce asset back under an Indian holding company was commercially motivated, strategically significant, and legally complex in ways that reveal the genuine frontier challenges facing India's regulatory system.

6.1 Corporate Law Mechanics and the NCLT Order

The restructuring was initiated through a petition filed by Flipkart Internet Private Limited before the Principal Bench of the National Company Law Tribunal under Sections 230–232 of the Companies Act, 2013, the statutory framework for court-sanctioned schemes of amalgamation²⁵. On December 12, 2025, the NCLT sanctioned the scheme, approving the merger of eight Singapore-incorporated entities into Flipkart Internet.

The scheme proceeds in two stages. In the first stage, seven Singapore-based entities, including Flipkart Health, Flipkart Marketplace, QuickRoutes International, and FK Myntra Holdings, merge into Flipkart Internet. In the second stage, Flipkart Private Limited, the Singapore-based ultimate parent, itself merges into Flipkart Internet, which then assumes the position of ultimate holding company for the group²⁵. This two-stage design is not arbitrary. It allows the operational subsidiaries to consolidate first, simplifying the governance structure before the top-level restructuring occurs.

Table 3: Flipkart Reverse Flip - Merger Stage Architecture

Stage	Action	Participants
First Motion	Filing petition under Sections 230–232	Flipkart Internet before NCLT Principal Bench
Stage 1 Merger	Consolidation of foreign subsidiaries	Seven Singapore-based transferor companies into Flipkart Internet
Stage 2 Merger	Redomiciliation of ultimate parent	Flipkart Private Limited (Singapore) into Flipkart Internet
NCLT Order	Final sanction issued	December 12, 2025
Effective Result	Unified Indian holding structure	Flipkart Internet becomes ultimate holding company

Source: Bar and Bench, NCLT Order, December 12, 2025²⁵

Under Section 230 of the Companies Act, a scheme of amalgamation requires approval by a three-fourths majority in value of members and creditors present and voting²⁵. In Flipkart's case, the NCLT dispensed with the requirement to convene formal shareholder and creditor meetings, a discretion available to the tribunal in intra-group restructurings where the necessary consents have been obtained through affidavits²⁵. The tribunal satisfied itself that the scheme was fair, reasonable, and not contrary to public policy. This was not a perfunctory finding. The NCLT's analysis specifically noted that the merger would simplify valuation processes and make the group more transparent to public investors and SEBI, a forward-looking regulatory endorsement that carries real practical weight.

One procedural nuance is worth highlighting. The NCLT order explicitly conditions effectiveness on final approval from the relevant court in Singapore²⁵. The scheme does not come into effect until both jurisdictions have granted their respective sanctions. This dual-jurisdiction conditionality reflects the reality of cross-border restructuring: Indian judicial approval is necessary but not sufficient. The restructuring, as I read it, was genuinely concluded in early 2026 when the Government of India also granted clearance under Press Note 3, a point I address below.

6.2 FEMA Implications and the Press Note 3 Complexity

The NCLT order, whatever its corporate law authority, does not override the foreign exchange compliance requirements of FEMA. The transaction fell squarely within the Foreign Exchange Management (Cross Border Merger) Regulations, 2018, which govern inbound mergers, those where a foreign company amalgamates into an Indian entity²⁵. These regulations provide for deemed RBI approval where the merger strictly complies with specified pricing norms, capital account transaction limits, and reporting obligations¹⁹.

Tencent Holdings Limited, a Chinese conglomerate holds approximately 5–6% of Flipkart. That fact alone generates a compliance obligation that is entirely independent of the NCLT proceedings⁶. Under Press Note 3 (PN3), any foreign investment from a country sharing a land border with India requires prior government approval.²⁵ Because the reverse flip involved the issuance of shares in Flipkart Internet to non-resident shareholders, including Tencent, the restructuring could not be completed without

explicit clearance from the Government of India under PN3. Walmart's majority ownership presumably limits the political complexity of this approval, but it does not eliminate the procedural requirement.

Flipkart confirmed receipt of this government clearance in early 2026, at which point Flipkart Internet Private Limited formally became the holding entity of the Flipkart group⁹. The completion of this process, from NCLT petition to FEMA compliance to PN3 clearance, illustrates a fundamental truth about India's regulatory landscape: corporate law and foreign exchange law are not parallel tracks. They intersect, and the intersection demands coordination across the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, the Reserve Bank of India, and the Ministry of Finance. For the practitioner, this is a planning exercise of considerable complexity.

7. FEMA 2026 and the Future of Trade Compliance

On January 13, 2026, the Reserve Bank of India notified the Foreign Exchange Management (Export and Import of Goods and Services) Regulations, 2026, scheduled to come into force on October 1, 2026²⁷. These regulations represent the most comprehensive overhaul of India's trade compliance framework in a generation. For the first time, goods, services, and software exports and imports are brought under a single unified regulatory structure, replacing the fragmented SOFTEX and other form-based regimes with a single Export Declaration Form (EDF).

Table 4: Key Changes Under FEMA Export and Import Regulations, 2026

Regulation Feature	Previous Framework	2026 Framework
Reporting Forms	Multiple filings (SOFTEX, etc.)	Single unified Export Declaration Form (EDF)
Import Payment Timeline	Fixed 6-month window	Linked to contractual terms agreed by parties
Export Realization (INR)	15 months	Extended to 18 months for INR settlement
Set-off Timelines	Restricted	Allowed within 15-month realization period
Low-Value Entries	Documentation heavy	Self-declaration for entries up to ₹10 lakh
Advance Payments	Fixed USD 200,000 cap	AD bank-determined threshold

Source: Rödl & Partner, *EY India (2026)*²⁷

What I find most substantive about this reform is its institutional logic. The 2026 regulations shift the locus of operational decision-making from the RBI to Authorized Dealer (AD) banks²⁸. Banks are now empowered to approve extensions, grant write-offs, and determine thresholds for advance payments, decisions that previously required RBI intervention. This decentralization of authority is not just administratively efficient; it reflects a changed theory of how financial regulation should work. The RBI's role moves from transactional approval to systemic oversight.

For startups with global operations, which is increasingly most of them, the practical significance is real. The extension of the INR export realization window to 18 months, the contractually-linked import

payment timeline, and the self-declaration threshold for entries up to ₹10 lakh each reduce the compliance overhead that has historically made cross-border trade operationally burdensome³¹.

8. Discussion: The Convergence of Laws Toward 2047

Looking across the legislative and judicial developments of 2023 to 2026, I see a coherent, if imperfectly coordinated, policy trajectory. The Jan Vishwas reforms attack the colonial legal inheritance that treated commercial actors as inherently suspect. The Flipkart case demonstrates that the judicial system can now accommodate large-scale structural repatriation. And the FEMA 2026 regulations signal that even the trade compliance framework is being redesigned with the needs of globally-integrated businesses in mind.

The Flipkart case does something else, though. It reveals the friction points. The NCLT process, the cross-border merger FEMA regulations, and the PN3 approval requirement are three separate regulatory windows, administered by three separate government bodies, operating under three separate statutory frameworks²⁵. There is no single-window clearance. There is no unified timeline. A restructuring that is commercially straightforward, an Indian company bringing its offshore holding structure home, requires coordination across the Ministry of Corporate Affairs, the RBI, and the Ministry of Finance in ways that are currently sequential rather than parallel. That is the next frontier.

The GIFT IFSC strategy compounds this analysis. By creating an offshore zone within India, the government has found a creative solution to the domicile problem, one that allows startups to maintain international capital flexibility without formally leaving Indian jurisdiction²¹. The signaling effect of PhonePe, Groww, Meesho, and Flipkart all choosing to return to India cannot be underestimated. Investor confidence in India's regulatory environment is partly a narrative phenomenon: when major players make visible bets on domestic domicile, they shift the default assumption for the companies that follow²⁶.

9. Conclusion: A Roadmap for Global Leadership

The Vikshit Bharat 2047 vision depends, in the end, on whether India's legal system can serve as an enabler rather than a gatekeeper of innovation¹. The evidence from 2016 to 2026 suggests that the system is moving, meaningfully, if not yet completely, in that direction. The Jan Vishwas Acts have cleared regulatory thickets that discouraged formalization for decades⁷. The Flipkart reverse flip has demonstrated that the NCLT can handle the legal complexity of major cross-border restructuring. FEMA 2026 is modernizing the trade compliance apparatus. These are real achievements, and they deserve to be recognized as such.

They are also incomplete. In my assessment, three areas require focused attention in the next phase of reform.

First, the digitalization of the adjudication process must follow the legislative redesign. Creating adjudicating officers under the Jan Vishwas framework is only meaningful if those officers are equipped with digital infrastructure that enables fast, transparent, and reviewable decisions.⁸ A manual adjudication process that merely relocates delay from the courts to new bureaucratic channels will frustrate the reform's intent.

Second, the harmonization of cross-border merger approvals, NCLT, RBI, and government clearance under PN3, into a single coordinated workflow would dramatically reduce the compliance burden on

startups seeking to redomicile.⁴ The Flipkart case lasted months across multiple regulatory windows. For a smaller startup without Walmart's legal resources, this process would likely be prohibitive.

Third, the research and academic ecosystem must keep pace. High-quality doctoral research on India's evolving startup jurisprudence, the kind that this article attempts to contribute to, is essential for building the knowledge base that informs future reform. The next generation of Indian founders and policymakers will benefit from rigorous empirical and doctrinal analysis of what has worked, what has not, and why².

As India completes its first decade of Startup India in 2026, the foundation for the next twenty years is visible. It is imperfect and incomplete, but it is being built with intent. The combination of trust-based governance, strategic onshoring through GIFT IFSC, and the successful legal repatriation of companies like Flipkart will not, by themselves, guarantee 2047. What they do is remove obstacles that have historically stood between India's entrepreneurial energy and its institutional potential. By 2047, if the legislative momentum of this decade is sustained and deepened, the startup ecosystem will not merely contribute to the Indian economy. It will be its animating force.

References

1. Viksit Bharat 2047: Vision for a Developed Nation | Viksit India. <https://viksitindia.com/>
2. Viksit Bharat 2047: Vision, Objectives, Policies & Economic Growth. <https://www.vajiraoinstitute.com/upsc-ias-current-affairs/viksit-bharat-2047.aspx>.
3. A Decade of Startup India. Press Information Bureau. <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2214872®=3&lang=2>.
4. 5th Edition of States' Startup Ecosystem Ranking. Startup India. https://www.Startupindia.gov.in/srf/images/SRF_2022_Result_page/National-Report-15-01-26.pdf
5. Startup India Has Evolved into a Defining Movement of New India: Prime Minister Shri Narendra Modi. Press Information Bureau. <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleaseDetail.aspx?PRID=2215334®=6&lang=1>.
6. Beyond the Red Tape: The Jan Vishwas Bill, 2026 and India's Trust Revolution. CII Blog. <https://ciiblog.in/beyond-the-red-tape-the-jan-vishwas-bill-2026-and-indias-trust-revolution/>
7. Jan Vishwas (Amendment of Provisions) Bill, 2026: Rationalizing Compliance and Decriminalizing Minor Offences in the Health Sector. Press Information Bureau. [.https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2248831®=3&lang=1](https://www.pib.gov.in/PressReleasePage.aspx?PRID=2248831®=3&lang=1).
8. Jan Vishwas Bill 2026 Explained: How Decriminalisation of Laws Aims to Boost Ease of Doing Business? Sanskriti IAS. <https://www.sanskritiias.com/current-affairs/jan-vishwas-bill-2026-explained-how-decriminalisation-of-laws-aims-to-boost-ease-of-doing-business>.
9. 'Trust Over Fear': Parliament Passes Jan Vishwas Bill, 2026, Decriminalises Minor Offences Across 79 Laws. LawStreet Journal. <https://lawstreet.co/executive/trust-over-fear-parliament-passes-jan-vishwas-bill-2026-decriminalises-minor-offences-across-79-laws>.
10. Jan Vishwas (Amendment of Provisions) Bill 2026, Key Features. Vajiram & Ravi. [.https://vajiramandravi.com/current-affairs/jan-vishwas-bill-2026/](https://vajiramandravi.com/current-affairs/jan-vishwas-bill-2026/).
11. Gujarat, Arunachal, Goa Best States in Providing Strong Ecosystem for Startups: DPIIT Ranking. The Economic Times. <https://m.economictimes.com/tech/startups/gujarat-arunachal-go-best-states-in-providing-strong-ecosystem-for-startups-dpiit-ranking/articleshow/126570159.cms>
12. Indian Startup Ecosystem. Startup India. [.https://www.startupindia.gov.in/content/sih/en/international/go-to-market-guide/indian-startup-ecosystem.html](https://www.startupindia.gov.in/content/sih/en/international/go-to-market-guide/indian-startup-ecosystem.html)

13. Startup Day 2026: Why India's Startup Future and Viksit Bharat 2047 Begins Beyond the Metros. ET Edge Insights. <https://etedge-insights.com/featured-insights/startup-day-2026-why-indias-startup-future-and-viksit-bharat-2047-begins-beyond-the-metros/>.
14. Jan Vishwas (Amendment of Provisions) Bill, 2026. Press Information Bureau. <https://static.pib.gov.in/WriteReadData/specificdocs/documents/2026/apr/doc202644839301.pdf>
15. The Jan Vishwas (Amendment of Provisions) Bill, 2026. PRS India. <https://prsindia.org/billtrack/the-jan-vishwas-amendment-of-provisions-bill-2026>.
16. No Jail for Honking or Smoking in Metro: How Jan Vishwas Bill 2026 Ends Imprisonment for Everyday Violations. The Economic Times. <https://m.economictimes.com/news/india/no-jail-for-honking-or-smoking-in-metro-how-jan-vishwas-bill-2026-ends-imprisonment-for-everyday-violations/articleshow/129998062.cms>.
17. Jan Vishwas (Amendment of Provisions) Bill, 2026. Press Information Bureau. <https://www.pib.gov.in/PressNoteDetails.aspx?NoteId=158002&ModuleId=3>.
18. The Jan Vishwas (Amendment of Provisions) Bill, 2026 , Full Text. PRS India.
19. The Reverse Flip Explained: Bringing Startups Back to India via NCLT. Esplora Legal. <https://esploralegal.com/the-reverse-flip-explained-bringing-startups-back-to-india-via-nclt/>.
20. Expert Committee Submits Report on Onshoring Indian Innovation to GIFT IFSC. Thakurani. <https://www.thakurani.in/shocks/mockups/RBI-FEMA-BANKING-10-group/expert-committee-submits-report-on-onshoring-india-31077/>.
21. Report of Expert Committee on 'Onshoring Indian Innovation to GIFT IFSC'. TaxGuru. <https://taxguru.in/finance/report-expert-committee-onshoring-indian-innovation-gift-ifsc.html>
22. Press Release: Report of the Expert Committee on 'Onshoring the Indian Innovation to GIFT IFSC' submitted to IFSCA
23. Committee of Experts to 'Onshoring the Indian Innovation to GIFT IFSC'. TaxTMI. <https://www.taxtmi.com/news?id=26779>.
24. Report of the Working Group for the Development of Non-Resident Individual Business and Ease of Registration. CAalley.com. <https://www.caalley.com/ifsc24/report-nr-indian-and-foreign-business-and-ease-of-registration15052024052230.pdf>.
25. Flipkart on the Flip Side: Navigating India's Reverse Flip Regime. Bar and Bench. <https://www.barandbench.com/view-point/flipkart-on-the-flip-side-navigating-indias-reverse-flip-regime>.
26. NCLT Permits Flipkart Restructuring, Clearing Path for IPO. Economic Times Legal.
27. India's New FEMA Trade Regulations: Key Changes for Businesses. Rödl & Partner. <https://www.roedl.com/en/insights/indias-new-fema-trade-regulations/>
28. Key Changes Introduced by RBI Under FEMA Export and Import Regulations, 2026. TaxatHand. <https://www.taxatHand.com/article/41062/India/2026/Key-changes-introduced-by-RBI-under-FEMA-Export-and-Import-Regulations-2026>.
29. Reserve Bank of India Issues the Foreign Exchange Management (Export and Import of Goods and Services) Regulations, 2026. EY India. https://www.ey.com/en_in/technical/alerts-hub/2026/03/rbi-issues-exim-guidelines
30. The Future of Trade: RBI Releases New FEMA Export and Import Regulations 2026. LKS. <https://www.lkslaw.com/insights/events/the-future-of-trade-rbi-releases-new-fema-export-and-import-regulations-2026>.
31. FEMA Compliance in 2026: Key Changes & Impact. FEMABide. <https://femabide.com/fema-compliance-in-2026/>.
32. Volume 2 Issue 8. IJLRA. <https://www.ijlra.com/uploads/1316150608.pdf>.
33. FEMA Procurement in 2026: Critical Changes for Successful Contracting. EisnerAmper. <https://www.eisneramper.com/insights/government/critical-changes-for-successful-contracting-0426/>.
34. Developed India and Vision 2047: Roadmap, Challenges, and Opportunities. Journal IJDR. <https://www.journalijdr.com/developed-india-and-vision-2047-roadmap-challenges-and-opportunities>.